

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/020,699	02/09/1998	ROBIN JOHN SLATER	7204	3553		
7:	590 07/24/2002					
MICHAEL C	MICHAEL CHAN			EXAMINER		
NCR CORPORATION LAW DEPARTMENT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION ECD2 101 WEST SCHANTZ AVENUE DAYTON, OH 454790001			ELMORE, REBA I			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DAYTON, OH	1 454/70001		2187			
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/020,699	PETERS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Reba I. Elmore	2187		

	Reba I. Elmore	2187	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply places the applica	tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 February 2001</u> . A 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			orth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	· ·		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,2,4-13 and 17-20</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	1-
10.		Kla-	1. 1
		REBA I. EL PRIMARY EX GROUP	AMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments have been addressed previously and have been further addressed in the examiner's answer.